

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,728	03/24/2004	Corey E. Hoffman	WEAT/0033.D3	3698	
75	590 10/12/2004	EXAMINER			
William B. Pa		WALKER, ZAKIYA NICOLE			
MOSER, PATT Suite 1500	TERSON & SHERIDA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
3040 Post Oak	Blvd.	3672			
Houston, TX 77056			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					~				
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/807,7	'28	HOFFMAN ET AL.					
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Zakiya N	. Walker	3672					
D	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	:ss				
Period fo	• •								
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corn e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extraording the state of the sta	vent, however, may a replated or thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 35 from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .							
2a)[2b) This action is	non-final.						
3)									
Disposit	tion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.							
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restr	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are) objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	0 , ,	J						
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			olication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies				ige				
	application from the Internati	onal Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).						
* (See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	lified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachmer									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (DTO 048)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
	ce of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03242004</u> .	•	6) 🔲 Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/807,728 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to a tool for actuating a downhole tool, classified in class 166, subclass 319.
 - Claims 9 and 10, drawn to a spoolable valve, classified in class 137, subclass 625.34.
 - III. Claim 11, drawn to a venturi apparatus, classified in class 138, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and (II or III) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention I has different function or effects from invention II or III. Invention I is drawn towards a tool for operating a separate tool, which is not material to any of the other inventions.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,728 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. During a telephone conversation with William B. Patterson on 9/29/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

- 7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "the present invention" is recited in line 1. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 8. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 3672

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 31 and 33-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,427,776. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant invention are merely a broadened form of the US'776 claims. The instant invention claims a tool comprising an upper tubular portion, a restriction portion, and a diverter portion. The US'776 claims include the limitations of the instant invention, plus additional limitations including a debris storage container a retaining member, and a filter member. Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 3672

was made to have included the broadened claims within the US'776 case in order to claim a broadened invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zakiya N. Walker whose telephone number is (703) 305-0302. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,728

Art Unit: 3672

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zakiya N. Walker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

zw September 29, 2004